

# Measurement of Parasternal Long-axis and Commissural Mitral Annulus Diameters Improves the Accuracy of Mitral Annular Cross-sectional Area Calculation

Kiyoko YOSHIKUMI\*, Hiroyuki TOIDE\*, Hiroki OKANIWA\*, Sayaka HOSHINO\*,  
Tomomi ARASEKI\*, Mihoka IWAZAKI\*, Yasuyuki KOBAYASHI and Eiji YAMASHITA\*\*

## Abstract

**Purpose:** Calculation of mitral annular cross-sectional area ( $CSA_{MV}$ ) using the diameters from the apical long-axis and commissural plane (LAX/CC) method could be more accurate than the calculated area obtained by the annular diameters in the conventional apical four- and two-chamber view (4CV/2CV) method. The purpose of the study is to clarify which approach gives better accuracy: to use the 4CV/2CV method from the apical view or to use the LAX/CC method from the apical view or the parasternal view.

**Subjects and Methods:** Thirty patients without valvular heart diseases were enrolled in this study (22 males, 8 females,  $48.7 \pm 18.6$  years old).  $CSA_{MV}$  was measured by three methods as follows: using the conventional 4CV/2CV method from the apical view, and using the LAX/CC method from the apical view and the parasternal view. Left ventricular inflow volume ( $Q_{LVT}$ ) was calculated using  $CSA_{MV}$  obtained by each method. LV outflow volume ( $Q_{LVOT}$ ) was measured by the Doppler method. Correlations and differences between  $Q_{LVOT}$  and  $Q_{LVT}$  were compared among the three methods.

**Results and Discussion:** Compared with the 4CV/2CV method,  $Q_{LVT}$  values by the LAX/CC method from the two views were well correlated with  $Q_{LVOT}$  (4CV/2CV method:  $r=0.745$ ,  $p<0.01$  LAX/CC method from apical view:  $r=0.799$ ,  $p<0.01$  LAX/CC method from parasternal view:  $r=0.925$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). Further analysis with Bland–Altman plots revealed that the  $Q_{LVT}$  obtained by the LAX/CC method from the parasternal view exhibited the closest agreement with  $Q_{LVOT}$ .

**Conclusions:**  $CSA_{MV}$  obtained by the LAX/CC method for both apical and parasternal views is more accurate than that obtained by the conventional 4CV/2CV method. Moreover, the LAX/CC measurement from the parasternal view is better than from the apical view.

Vol.41 No. 6 (2016) 625-633

---

Department of Medical Technology, Gunma Prefectural Cardiovascular Center\*, Department of Cardiology, Gunma Prefectural Cardiovascular Center\*\*

Gunma Prefectural Cardiovascular Center, 3-12, Kameizumi-machi, Maebashi, Gunma, 371-0004, Japan

Received on March 14, 2016; Revision accepted on August 19, 2016